

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/894,180	06/28/2001	Frank J. Ponzio JR.	4640-110 US	5317
25241	7590 09/15/2005		EXAMINER	
MATHEWS, COLLINS, SHEPHERD & GOULD, PA			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
100 THANET CR, SUITE 306 PRINCETON, NJ 08540		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3621	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/894,180	PONZIO, FRANK J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pierre E. Elisca	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Se</u>	eptember 2005.				
2a)∏ This a	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	Claims					
4) Claim	(s) <u>25-48</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-48</u> is/are rejected.					
· := ·	(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	sisclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
		· 				

Application/Control Number: 09/894,180 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's RCE, filed on 08/04/2005.

2. Claims 25-48 are pending

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 25-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,628,817) in view of Graves et al (U.S. Pat. No. ,410,344). As per claims 25-28 and 33-48 Ishikawa substantially discloses an inspection data signal analyzing system, comprising:

Assessing the quality of the content (or analyzing or monitoring data signal) of the preexisting independently created data using one or more predefined sets of criteria corresponding to a business (see., abstract, figs 2 and 5A- 5C, col 3, lines 22-41, col 6, lines 20-57). It is to be noted that Ishikawa fails to explicitly disclose the steps of assigning a grade indicative of the quality (or rating), wherein the receiver dynamically evaluates the marked grade or rate of the data to determine suitability for a particular subsequent use is a function of the marked grade and the particular subsequent use. However, Graves discloses a method/system for selecting audiovisual (or data signal)

Application/Control Number: 09/894,180

Art Unit: 3621

programs for presentation to a viewer. A neutral network process predicts the programs in which a viewer would have the highest interest by determining a grade and rate for each analyzed program (see., abstract, col 6, lines 17-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inspection data signal of Ishikawa by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Graves because this would determine a manufacturing problem based on grading and rating.

Ishikawa and Graves fail to disclose Applicant's newly added limitation wherein said digital data having a plurality of data records. Randin discloses a digital data storage method/apparatus containing a plurality of data records for analyzing record data (se., abstract, col 1, lines 63-67, col 2, lines 16-22). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Ishikawa and Graves by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Brandin because this would determine associative relationships between records contained in devices.

As per claims 29-32 Ishikawa discloses the claimed method wherein the quality corresponds to a particular data field of the at least one data field, and a particular record of the at least record (see., abstract, specifically wherein said the data analysis station, the coordinates on which the disposition of the chips (or data field) are described on a product basis are equal to those on which the locations of the defects, col 3, lines 22-41, col 12, lines 33-47).

Application/Control Number: 09/894,180 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 09/02/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new ground (s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 571 272 6706. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571 272 6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/894,180

Art Unit: 3621

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

September 12, 2005